DAVIDKING ET AL. CONTEXTUAL THEOLOGY ANALYSIS : THAILAND

f 540

.

.

CONTEXTUAL THEOLOGY ANALYSIS: THAILAND

11.01

N. 10. 1

by

David King O. B. O'Brien Larry Persons



BTh 323 Professor Moore 11/25/81 (11/26/81)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

. *

INTRODUCTION	1
	.1
5	
L THAI CULTURAL VALUES ••	.4
Spiritual Development and the Attainment of Merit • • • •	5
Individual "Irresponsibility"	7
1) KRENG CHAI .	
	.9
3) Moderation and Peacefulness • • • • • • • • •	10
4) MAI PEN RAICHAI YENCHOEI-CHOEI	
5) CHAO NAI	12
T FUNCTIONAL THEOLOGY	14
FUNCTIONAL THEOLOGY	14
1) Modernization and Materialism	14
2) Fornication and Adultery	
3) Social Understanding of the Thai-Buddhist Equivalency	15
4) The Monarchy	16
5) Value of Non-confrontation	16
6) God and Non-confrontation	17
7) Unanimous Consensus .	17
8) Importance of the Preaching Event over Doctrine • • •	18
9) Importance of Material Goods over Doctrine and Loyalty	18
10) Prayer • • • • •	19
11) Generosity	19
12) Body Life and Unity	19
THE THEOROGICAL PRESUBBOCTIONS	0.1
THEOLOGICAL PRESUPPOSTIONS	.21
1) God is CHAI YEN	.21
2) God behaves much like the rule of Karma	.23
3) Sense of h^{i} story not needed to accept revelation \cdot \cdot	24
4) God's grace is cheap	.26
5) Conversion need not require subsequent self-denial •	27
6) God is not to be understood through logic	28
7) The Body of Christ has loosely fitted parts	29
8) Christ is against Thai Culture • •	30

· · ·

CONCLUSION: "Is Christ Really Against **Thai** Culture?" • • • • 32

÷

• `.

WHY SO MANY FORMER THAILANDILE? TO WHY ALLE THE KIM'S' + WOYAMAT'S NOT PROSENTLY IN THAILUND EFFECTIVE + TRIPLEMENTING THENE INSTRAFT + VISIONS?

INTRODUCTION

ſŪ

e winné

ice mener

15 12A15612

CO" CHILONEL

LED THAT

. ç.A **h**if

Kosuke Koyama; a former missionary to Thailand, has penned the

following words in an imaginary letter to Dr. Daniel McGilvary (1828-1911), a pioneer missionary in northern Thailand **for** over half a century:

• • • I have become very curious to know whether your audience understood your preaching or **not**, if: you will pardon me for asking. In my ministry here today I am forced to see how thoroughly strange and unrealistic -- how "Western"-- is the Christian vocabulary to **the** ears of my Thai neighbours. How did you explain the thoughts such as "Buddha (groaned under his load of guilt", "Our Jehovah Jesus is the only self-existent. being in the universe", "He did this out of infinite love and pity for our race after it had <u>sinned</u>", "Everything seems to • imply the contrivance of mind:, "Suffered and died to save us", "The incarnation, life, and death of Christ and redemption their own seasoning to the ingredients. It is pretty well Seasoned already! But of course you cannot say that Jesus Christ is an arahant. This would be candy-coated poison. It might go down the throat without irritation, but when it reached the stomach it would paralyse the vital organs. [Suppose you wanted to say that "Jesus is an **arahant"**, then you would have to do it with endless conditional sentences and explanatory paragraphs. That would require too much labour and only invite misunderstanding. . .

TENNIMOURLY TENNIMOURLY CENEDITS T

BURNHST -Firminour's

STOPE NOT

etmiente SYNCREASIN

STOKE WORDS + CONCEPTY ON PART Here Dr. Koyama handily sets before his readers the dilemma which faces every person who seeks to communicate cross-culturally: How does one communicate using familiar forms in :a culture without altering the Starting Starting meaning of the message as it is understood in his own culture?

This question is, after all, at the base of all theology. Is not good theology that which defines God and his revelation to man in <u>culturally</u> perceptible terms? If so, all theology should really be contextuai; and

any attempt to dress Truth in the garb of a given culture is an attempt to "do theology." Th. task of this paper is to research what kind of "theologizing" is going on in the Church of Jesus Christ in Thailand today.

Cne who proceeds to delve into this subject will discover early on FEN WFIED that there is not much written theology to be found. Samuel Kim reports that "the Thai people appear to be more interested in external and visible d' symbols and elements than in sophisticated philosophical thought and speculations. It is rare to find genuine Thai Ithinkers of the Western variety."² There are really **almost** no Christian writers and thinkers who Alte FACINE produce theological materials. Kim's summary of the situation is that "all theological developments and efforts of indigenization are completely H15 (KINIS

TLI

166

K

Elith

THER

ite

ie si

burgator

Indin 6-

FIN CAT

: RITL monopolized by roreign missionaries. It Bs sadwess Can Decychory the Sax Manufact + NV Vie MISAUTTIN TEMPTERS J. PISTISA Church today faces a theological vacuum."

If theology has been "spoon-fed" to Thai Christians, what is there

for the writers of this paper to describe or diagnose? What will be the. purpose of this study? First it is important to **State** what the paper will

It will not be an attempt to write a "Thai theology"--that is not be. call to a "bookish" Christianity for Thailand. Rather, the writers assume Neither will the paper be a impossible because the writers are not Thai. that whether or not one is "doing theology," contextualization is going on anyway. Or in different words, any behavior of the Church is rooted in some theological presupposition--whether or not that presupposition is consciously perceived. If so, it lies before the writers of this paper to **W** work backwards from that which is observable in the life of the Thai Church to that which has so far gone unstated--the underlying theological presuppositions. This is at best a highly **tentative** effort and at worst an exercise in foolhardy speculation.

The following material is divided into three major sections. The

THAI CULTURAL VALUES

Cultural values **are** those things that <u>standardize the worth</u>, the effect or <u>the function of any given phenomenon in a culture</u> or society. In order to fully understand the things that take place within a given i

cultural setting, these values must first be integrated into one's under**standing. One cannot** fully understand the Thai in their everyday acti-

y vities unless he first sees those things that are important to the Thai people within their culture.

Thei culture is generally a scene of social harmony and non-conflict. The foreigner of Westerner will rarely observe face-to-face rejection, refusal or confrontation. Exposing the true feelings is looked upon as shareful would matrix action, tenbre avgifor the Repardless reformed true. propriety of action for every situation. This prescription is closely followed for the sake of the preservation of the status quo, since for the Thai this is what brings him comfort and satisfaction. In other

words, the social cosmetic is what the social aspect of Thai culture is about and it sets the stage for understanding the values within the

culture.

64

が

ې دلم

LIOK

v

9cf

1

The social cosmetic is exactly what it says--the cover up of reality. It is important to know that the cosmetic is not necessarily reality -- not It is most certainly a way of avoiding embarrassment even to the Thai. or some other sort of anxious situation. For instance, a visitor to Thailand is **OVERCOME** by the extreme politeness of the people. This is MARTERNARCE ILL EVELUT MUSSIONALY IJ GIVEN NYKANAME * ACAIN SUTWARD BY THAL NOT NEEDS ANY 4 COMPLIMENTAIN "AUT NEW ATT NUME I MINE IS SINE OF FEN: OTHERS THER - FUSAV-1 EVELSAILES ETC OUT WATLEY USED " FAT" MUSSicilly -1. 4. TAI

evidenced in what seems to be a genial interest in others and a genuine concern for the welfare and comfort of others. What the first glance observer does not realize is that this activity can be a cover up for COVE-0 completely different feelings. The Thai maintain this attitude normally as a disguise **for** their true anxiety over the apparent intentions of In this way they can harbor bitter feelings and still not disothers. rupt the peaceful, relaxed facade that is so typical of the Thai people. In this way also they can refrain from **unnecessarily** offending someone with an unbridled tongue. The point is that the maintenance of the most comfortable situation is a priority of priorities. The values must be

preserved at all costs and the social cosmetic==though it has many part -is the instrument by which this preservation can be achieved.

.Then what are these values? What is it that makes them such a priority to the Thai people? Why do they affect **the** culture in such an all-pervasive way?

values into three areas Which form a neat analysis. The areas that they will be Both Wendell Blanchard⁵ and Frank Moore⁶ have categorized these CHATERAN SFIRMATI INCO V WALARD list are: 1) the spiritual aspect, 2) individualism, and 3) authority. HTHOUT)

Spiritual Development and the Attainment of Merit

lop L

In this area the person of the monk is the ideal. He is the representative of the one who has attained spiritual perfection in his walk. The Buddhist monkhood or the Sangha is something that commands great respect. In fact, even some of the poorest Thai **are** known to give up to 25% of their annual income to the priesthood. For the majority of the Thai, religious morality is a), priority, whether it is a genuine ccnviction

or not. The primary goal of this religious morality is the attainment of meritung Themenip tathe you be the next expettee of certain prescriber. But the REMANNA NEXT MERLI QUESTION NEEDS TO BE ASKED PUNCTUSE OF MERITE PENSOR FAME ONED FUNSAT E ESCAPE RELITE MONT WHE O ON DOMMUNITY - FHATTLY JONESSAME 2 Novemple Funne

5

why Par.

One of the most prominent values associated with merit-making is that of generosity. Generosity is an **aspect** of Thai culture :hat immediately strikes a first observer in Thailand. It is directly tied to merit-making in that merit-making motivates a generous spirit on the part of the Thai. Some giving is expectedly more meritorious than other giving. For example, giving money for the construction of a Buddhist

temple falls second only to giving **one's** life to the monkhood. There are specified degrees of meritorious giving. Blanchard goes on to cite

Ęś

Jean - ANAM "

UNIT ANETHERIS

profest

MORAUST

5252222

Immy 45

IRUNS TO

in ciù

CY A

VENTRY Y

T "IEW some of the tacit rules concerning giving: "The Thai say that a person who gives must sincerely want to and must hever have any later regrets. When 25 Thai farmers were asked the question, 'Who . would receive more merit: a farmer who gave 30 beht and had no regrets or a farmer who gave 50 baht and wished that had given only 25?' 22 answered that the first farmer would. When asked... (if the second farmer instead) gave 1000 baht and wished he had only given 25 ... 10 specified the first and15 the second. The 15 explained that $s \dot{\varphi}$ much good would accrue through the 1000 baht that the donor even though he regretted all but 25, would eventually gain more merit."

Generosity is then a primary value under the category of spiritual development. Another cultural value most worthy of mention concerns the idea that in order to be a truly loyal Thai, one must also be Buddhist. To be Thai and to be Buddhist **are** one and the same ess-STATE ACONTER ا کظ that the most devout Buddhists can only be the Thai. Supreme ioyalty to the cause of the Buddha goes hand-in-hand on a **list** of priori-97251254 ties with the worship and admiration of the king and queen whose pictures can be found very near to the altar of Buddha in many homes.

6

-

The extreme priority of the Buddha and the king in the life of the Thai has been well put by Larry Persons in saying, ". . . to ask a: Thai to turn away from his religion is tantamount to a request thjat he relinquish his NFRAN RETEAR

A Thainess'."

Concerning the spiritual dimensions of cultural value for the Thai we have listed generosity, the Buddha and the king. All three of these areas contribute much to merit attainment for the individual. The thought of merit for the individual leads us to the next major category of cultural values . . .

Individual "Irresponsibility"

Of all of the aspects of Thai **xulture** it is this one that is likely the most thoroughly pervasive.

"Among the first things that a Thai child learns is that he can depend only upon himself and that his duty is to meet every situation adequately. Friendships are important but the basic altitude of self-resonsibility is not altered by them."¹¹

There is a general acceptance among the Thai of the fact that one's actions are not the concern of anyone else. There is therefore somewhat of a non-amenability to regimentation. The Thai injgeneral have made good soldiers, but the average Thai might not look upon the armed services as a desirable thing because of the strict regimentation and accountability to others. Neither would the concepts of a time-clock nor scheduled labor be PERPET λ' an attractive one because it is too restrictive. The Thai concept of fate is that all things will happen as they ought to if they are left alone TENC and uninfluenced. On the other hand the Thai is accountable for certain of his own actions, and some very strict demands are placed upon him in order that individual freedom can be protected in **society.** He willingly submits

Thentor

to personal **demands** for appropriate action. Some of the cultural values that fall within this realm of individual responsibility are the following: Welmin ?? /1) KRENG CHAI--This term is a very difficult one to explain with lt is best understood by a collection of words and only one other word. -True phrases such as; self-effacement, respect for others (especially authority), humility, extreme consideration, avoidance of embarassing self and others, QUESTICN THIS YES avoidance of any kind of intrusion and avoidance of any kind of imposition. This virtue has a high value for the Thai socially. One tacit value is that it serves as a rationalizing principle for any actions that would otherwise be an embarassmer⁺. The point is made best by example:

101 7F a) A Thai does not criticize his employer. This could be MUNETANY A hseen as cowarance or fear by his peers, but the Thai explains that it is KRENG CHAI--out or his honor and respect that he does not criticize. This is necessary since any evidence of wcakness, fear or cowardice is a cause for shame--something abhorrent to the Thai. 1 10

b) A Thai offered a position of substantial responsibility En From may very well refuse because he feels unsuited for the job. His sense of both KRENG CHA: and the possibility of failure prevent him from assuming Withoo great a task. To accept the position would bring shame both to him and to his employer and that must certainly be avoided. KRENG C11A1 allows

for this excuse.

for great offense to be taken.

wer

NE

incst

ليهز

int.

("

NIP

hent

WEST WNE

HE DESIMES

y'nertor

FREE

PLEAS

£ mur 1 N c) One of the reasons for the $lack_{\rm b}$ of confrontation in the Thai culture is the fact that they feel a definite need to save face at any cost. It is therefore a rare occasion indeed when a Thai will give an hone: or even direct answer to a quostion. In fact, it would be excee-

dingly rude to expect him or press him to do so. This would be grounds

3

in the pla

MISTATICS

N. of

DIPNET

UNING STAN

11^{1/1}

Hernie

20

contrati

LIFTAV AWAY

to teine

WUSSICO Roll

2) SANUK--SABAI--SADUAK--These words mean "fun, comfort and conveni- or ence **rcspectively.** The Thai are masters of optimism |to the same degree that they are masters of the neglect of **reality.** One of the multiple positivc releases for the pressure brought on by the effort to maintain the social cosmetic is SANUK--SABAI. The Thai are gifted in the ability to derive enjoyment from practically every situation with which they are faced. There is consequently a high rating of the desire to have SANUK--a good time. Fun is something to be sought, while those things that are not fun are to be ignored. As a rule this principle is Surprisingly applicable across the board. It is an accurate commentary on the standard of value (in ? general way) for the Thai as well as an accurate determinant and prescription for behavior. This is evident especially in the area of commitment to anything. As long as something is SANUK it is worth pursuing. When than thing ceases to be SANUK, it should be set aside in order that other SANUK might be pursued. SANUK is one of the things "that concept of seeking fun and comfort and convenience above all else makes Convenience above all else makes the Thai appear to the Westerner and the Westerner OUT NIME EN MULATA 1--ittis it concept is so universal that it is even included in one of the Thai greetings which is translated, "Are things comfortable for you?" () The HEINTH Real Price question is a rhetorical one somewhat like the English "How are you?" It nevertheless \mathbf{i} very real part of the Thai lifestyle and mindset. Some further examples of this idea are the following:

ι7

a) Often villagers will travel to the cities for the purpose of finding a job only to **teturn** in a short time because the job wasn't SANUK.

b) On the other hand, the Thai are sometimes more **than** willing to perform a particular task because for them it offers a very high level of SANUK. These jobs might even be done free of **charge**.¹³

pyripit AND

with

FDNE HIRE

AN N

WILL WERE UED S SHUMIN

Vorill Alch

Wherear

c) National holidays are a time of feasting and cclebration with everyone getting involved. Herein lies another integral aspect of SANUK NOISE --that is, the ultimate in SANUK is achieved when the most number of people are experiencing it (e.g., the yearly water festival, etc.).

(July)

sinne

NEN

knew IN

MARIN AND THERE

Mrs

NOT

WAR

lin vi

120, 10

1,00 TEN

1m² sty.

d) It is not at all **uncommon** to see some early morning workers on their way to work on the back of a truck, whooping and yelling and clapping and laughing since there is no special reason not to. Roadside observers of the group smile as it blows by, saying, "They are 'producing' fun."

3) Moderation and Peacefulness--These two values would fall second tomone. Moderation is a virtue that brings good health and balance to NULL 12 one's life. It has been called the "keynote of Thai'social relationships."¹⁴ The emphasis here is on a lack of extremes--they are to be avoided. The MIN very closes: of relationships must be somewhat distant to a point of moder- \sqrt{i} ation. The key to relationships along this line is that they not be too lernuly friendly and yet not too distant. For example, "children are reared to show deference and respect to parents, but they are not expected to be compulsively dutiful and obedient."

o Thenumber one social value (according to Frank Moore) is peaceful-CIVÉ treasured state for the Thai, and it ressand a tranquilstate. This is a ought to be maintained at all costs. The attitude is typified by non-aggres-. New Nr. sion and quietude. Interestingly, no matter what other virtues a man might have, he is not a good man unless he is peaceful. There is social condemnation for the man vho himself is not peaceful or who causes others not to be peaceful. On the other hand, in the act of praise and laud for someone, most often there will be reference to his peacefulness. The means that the Thai use to maintain this particular social value is a three-fold one.

Emile? 4) MAI PEN RAI--CHAI YEN--CHOEI-CHOEI--The best translation of the MAI PEN RAI is rendered "it doesn't matter." The Thai are "masters 16 This concept is directly tied to Buddhistic fatalism of unconcern." and the idea that any kind of concern is futile since whatever ${f is}$ going to happen will happen without any involvement on the part of man. It is in also the primary principle used by the Thai to maintain peaceful quietude within their culture. MAI PEN RAI is a symbol of the desire of the Thai to keep things on an even keel and to shrug off anything that seems to be disagreeable. All of the "bad" in life is avoided by the ability to utilize this phrase thereby causing any negative element to theorizically not exist. If there is no drinking water--MAI PEN RAI--get some canal water and boil it. If the electricity goes **out--MAI** PEN **RAI--stop** the activity, enjoy the rest and wait until the morning for the light. This convenient and common phrase is a panacea for discomfort, tragedy, embarassment and any other kind of "social ill."

CHAI YEN means "cool heart." This is the prescribed response of the Thai to adversity. It is not a verbal response as is the one above, "" rather it is a state of mind and heart. There is therefore never any cause for anxiety or upset from difficulty. The "cool heart" must be observed so that no social "waves" are stirred that would create any undue turbulence. Direct expressions of anger or feelings of discontent are not appropriate to the Thai. Such action is referred to as CHAI RON or "hot heart."

A further expression of this attitude of detached aloofness is the i term CHOEI-CHOEI. It is not accurately transla.able but the significance

of the term can be understood by the phrase "calculated indifference."

If MAI PEN RAI is a verbal expression and CHAI YEN is an attitude or a *Het* frame of mind, then CHOEI is a lifestyle. One ought to never be caught *NEVENTRIAN JEADAL*; COULTERENT ATTITUES; INDIFERENT LIFESTICE

PHISHIPL - MENTAL - + SAMUTLAL

 O_0

11

WHELE

Dury Sturt Spin

MAAN

HI LI UI

unaware, made to look silly or taken advantage of. $1\,f$ one is found in this sort of situation, he has only himself to blame. In fact, this value dictates that the **onc** to be frowned upon is not the one who has . taken advantage of another but rather the one who gets taken advantage One ought never to allow this to happen to him. One who is CHOE1 of. will slough off an insult, never get angry and can lie or take advantage successfully.

is with

pature

W:00

INE

ENGTE

9 u.W

FOR

hVE. MONÓ

100 AN

WE

hi!

INSE"

hand?

ر ب^{بر}

ylen"

H 7.3 fuell'

WE FARCE

All of these values represent an aloofness that is actually a positive defense against abuse, embarassment and psychological strain. The Thai seems to somehow deceive himself into beleiving that if one doesn't nave nv problems, then he can't worry about them. Therefore problems are to be ignored.

Mr. Jun 2.V. It put to be mentioned at this point that only positive culvalues (as reactions to bad situations) have been discussed. lt is noteworthy that often some negative reactions creep into the Thai frame of reference-such as rivalry, gossip, backbiting.and revenge. Each of these is fairly common--especially revenge. They are not necessarily socially acceptable, but the Thai are well aware that they take place. The Thai are also human and not one of them keeps $\ensuremath{\mathsf{CHAI}}$ YEN consistently. These are necessary though negative releases of psychological strain that comes from the maintainence of the social Cosmetic. If some unkindness is committed against a Thai, he will say, "Mai pen rai," but ft**4**4 he. will think, "I will definitely repay this wrong at any cost." This is part of maintaining a social balance as well.

5) CHAO NAI--This term has very much to do with a previous term--KRENG CHAI. KRENG CHAI (respect) is what ought to be shown to CHAO NAT (authority or superior). Respect is not always shown however **because** there is a good and a bad sense to CHAO NA]. Often a CHAO NA] abuses his JFYEN SUDERION PRINES SUS MUSIARX - MITERINIAN

position or authority for his own gain. CHAO NAI (in the bad sense) is somewhat likc the American "bad guys" of television. They are despicable characters, yet somehow if we were in their shoes things would not seem quite so serious. The government for example, is always so corrup: until one becomes a part of it and then things are more understandable. CHAO NAI even in the bad sense is a thing to be sought though it | might now be despised. On the other hand an good CHAO NAI receives willing respect because he is a benificent person. Actually in order to receive respect be must be a benificent person. In addition he must be generous. a faithful Buddhist, respectful of elders, merciful ciative of others, religiously learned and generally intelligent.17 Whe... addressing CEAC NAI one prostrates himself, bowing with folded hands over head, speaking from a seated position -- never with the :legs crossed. This is an indication \Im honor and respect. CHAO NAI can be gained in any IN FEWATENCE number or ways including wealth, appointment, position, reputation or any other thing **That lends** prestige.

The wast category offered by Blanchard and Moojre is authority. It will not be discussed at length simply because it has been thoroughly described in pieces throughout the process of this chapter of the. paper. The main purpose of this section was largely to clear the way for a balanced understanding of the functional theology as it emits from the theological presuppositions of the Thai Christian. So then, from this point we move on to discuss the matter of a Thai functional theology.

US MENS

FUNCTIONAL THEOLOGY

Because so little is written in the area of Thai contextual theology, this section will list some of the **observable** phenomena in the attitudes and behavior of the Thai Christians. It is assumed that these phenomena sprcut in part from the theological presuppositions of the Thai $\operatorname{Christians}^{\mathcal{V}}$ The phenomena may be pictured as hybrid plants born of the crossing of the Thai culture with the invading supracultural truth about God in a seedbed of circumstance.

1. Modernization and Materialism

WARLE

IMENA

; vn. ??

كراليها

win!

1,5m

ęν

pric

PH.V

V (تود ا

TRUE

m RS

WUTT'S

un

_βρ΄

W.H.

man£.

iD)

10T

me

As modernization has become a strong factor influencing the Thai culture. Thai Christianity has been seen to be placing great importance on the acquisition of material goods. Part of this is due to the new force in Thailand of advertising-~especially in the medium of tele-Thai people generally applaud things which are believed to be vision. 19 While it might be thought that the new, young new or progressive. pastors being trained in the national church might then be well re- ${f c}$ eived, such is not the case. Authority in the ${\Bbb T}$ hai church is associa-K S ted with age or elevated social status. The SANUK of newness is seen AJ IT by the Thai to be beneficially employed simply \mathbf{f} or its inherent enjoy-

2. Fornication and Adultery

While the New Testament directives on sexual purity are **Laught** and seemingly understood in the Thai church, fornication is for the most IT IS OVER LOOKED IN UNDERIG TO SAVE FACE OF PEOPENATRAN OND (1) –

part tolerated with minimal objection . A stronger stand is taken by the church on adultery. In spite of virginity being viewed as a preferable way to enter marriage (especially for women), many Thai teenagers have 23

GOOD WON

engaged in sexual relations before marriage. The **SANUK** of sexual pleasure is often a greater motivating factor in behavior in the Thai Church than is holiness. Here craving wins out **over** the Buddhist ideal of the dissolution of desire, an ideal supposedly respected in the culture at large. Inside the church, fornication between teenagers is often "winked at" 24 and seen as a regular part of the maturing process.

TRUE IN CUT In times past the Thai church has had many problems with the 25) taking of minor wives, even on the part of pastors Because of KRENG Note 20mm m CHAI and CHAI YEN it is difficult to try to exhort an individual over the matter of practiced sin in their **lives--especially** pastors. One of the writers of this paper knows of a pastor long left undisciplined while living in an adulterous situation. Finally, he was disciplined on the pretext of his adultery, but his discipline was really instigated by a personality conflict. There has been considerable growth on the part of the Church in this area and the taking of a minor wife does not loom large

26

as a difficulty in the Thai church today.

ć

WY 1(3.

Social Understanding of the Thai-Buddhist Equivalency

المبوانلا Since Buddhism is all-pervasive in Thai culture, Thai Christians HARE bften find themselves in a perplexed position when trying to live out their Christianity. Should, for example, the Christian participate in Colling F community projects when they are centered at the local Buddhist temple, ffiVy expecially when such projects are often understood to be merit making? $y Q^{\Lambda\Lambda'}$ ENERIAS How does the Christian student deal with the opening exercises at his school--exercises which often include participation in Buddhist prayers? THISIS MINTON CONFLICT INVERS

15

- CONDENES

ANNIAN'S. DIIT OF OF

KINCONT

par Tec

 $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbf{f}}$ the **leader** of **a** group holds a particular **view**, the others usually affirm his ideas externally, **thereby** maintaining social ease and helping the leader save face. In this situation, if one disagrees with a par-ONTION ticular decision or program, non-participation is a strong face-saving way to express one's disagreement.

Importance of the Preaching Event over Doctrine 8.

KF.

in)

il AL oF

a) A'

0

رونيد.

W.pl

1. 2

p

ρF

mir

Inside the Thai church doctrinal dogmatism or accuracy is not a factor of cverwhelming importance. However, large import is place upon the preaching event itself inherently and esoterically. In the Buddhist temple reachings, the devotees find essential worth in just being there hearing the sound of the teaching even though they may not understand what is being said. People talk quietly among themselves while the Buddhist monk teaches, and they experience religious fulfillment in hearing 32 the sound of his voice. This phenomenon **carries** over into Christianity to scme extent. Because of this, the prestige and the event of the 33 preaching concerns preachers more than doctrinal accuracy.

Importance of Material Goods over Doctrine **and** Loyalty 9. A large problem for many years in the **Gospel** Church of Thailand has 45 VPM been the seeming ease in which churches, **pastors**, and church leaders will change their denomination and doctrinal standing. Many times this change of affiliation is to a no: -Christian church organization like Jesus Only or Jehovah's Witnesses. Other groups instigating these denominational changes from GCT churches are Church of Christ (in the Parker Henderson flavor), Seventh Day Adventists, and the Assemblies of Co... The C&MA mission in Thailand does not subsidize individual churches or

pastors anymore (though they did a couple of decades ago). Many pastors

. 18

and churches have changed their affiliation because the financial benefits with other missions are often better. There is a certain amount of Thai thinking that runs along the lines of seeing Christianity as a good job. This kind of thinking becomes evident here. Thai Christians are not with overly concerned about denominational differences, they do not feel strong denominational loyalty, and they find it easy to affirm doctrinal changes ft along with the affiliation change. This is part of the reason for the

dwindling constituency of the GCT. 35

10. Prayet

۱ŕ

Prayer on the part of Thai Christians is reported to be of the fox-36 hole type. Christians will often turn to God in prayer to avoid what they perceive to be a calamitous situation befalling them. Prayer outside of this realm is observed to be lacking in praise and adoration towards ministrar God. Prayer is offered up for the sick to be healed; prayer for healing pathemeter is, however, usually resorted to after doctors, herbalists, and other avenues of healing have been frustrated.

11. Generosity Alongside the expectation of generosity and in contra-distinction

to the average Thai giving to the. Buddhist temple, the Thai church suffers from an "abysmal lack of giving. The average Thai gives a significant full portion of his means to the maintaining of Buddhist institutions (25% was cited earlier). Much of this giving is associated with merit making. Since there is no merit making in Christianity, the Thai Christian is less impelled to be investing his finances in the church.

12. Body Life and Unity

The GCT has for years had much trouble in experiencing unity on the

19

cf. P. Sunvey

Enowine attuiket population in the

part of its.constituents. The Thai values for freedom and individuality. seem to impede unity. Even now two C&MA related churches in Bangkok want to remain independent of the GCT due to wearying of the GCT's internal problems.³⁸

On the local level, there is a definite lack of discipleship of the Christians in churches. A sense of unity is lacking among the be-lievers in the local churches and on the national level.

INC-

ber

cillin't

115 1110101 There are some exceptions to this. Some Thai Christians have caught an understanding of the kingdom of God and then live their lives exemplifying the unity of the believing Family. This appears to be a phenomenon with r,c cultural equivalent. It may be that this understanding and exemplifying is a supernatural manifestation of God's Spirit in their lives.

THEOLOGICAL PRESUPPOSITIONS

This is not **meant** to be a systematic treatment of the traditional doctrines of Christianity as they are viewed by the Thai. More accurately, this section will be a sort of "free-lance" effort to determine what the behavior of Thai Christians <u>betrays</u> concerning their **view** of God and the Christian's responsiblity toward God.

It if. unfortunate but inevitable that much of what follows will sound negative. This is because the tug of Thai culture has produced certain aderrations in theology as the Western reader knows it. The writers of this paper are not disparaging Thai Christians for combining Thai values and Christian teachings to form a uniquely flavored Christianity--quite to the contrary. A premise of this paper is that <u>more</u> Thai need to bt "doing theology." Contextualization which goes on unconsciously is much more dangerous than that which is the result of a conscious grappling with the issues. The following presuppositions bear this out.

GOD HAS A COOL HEART

valued in their culture. Behavior in the Thai Church often suggests that

15

and 1. God is CHAI YEN.

this presupposition about God.

God might be thought of as having a "cool heart." It is hard enough that converted Buddhists should think of a God with personality, but that God should express strong emotions is a bit much to accept. The Buddhist ideal of tranquility and **self-control** is the cultural value which has influenced

Thai Christians are more comfortable with a God who does not confront. Foreigners may at. times be overly critical and nagging, but how can God be ${f JU}$ JEE? If God is good, how can he allow his face to get red?

Yet "the Bible speaks of a God who is **not** without **passion.** He is not 40

an apatheiaGod." In Genesis 8:20-21 God smelled the aroma of Noah's sacrifice and "was pleased." The second of the ten commandmants insinuates. that God is jealcus. The God of the Bible is not AKKADHANA, or free from anger. Rather, as Dr. Koyama writes, "the 'hot' God heats the cool outlook by placing it in the context of covenant relationship."

God who chooses to confront from time to time is bound to be a

God who cemands that one "lose face" before him j Yet if one views God as RSTEN non-confrontational he might thereby assume titrat God's child should never lose face. Shame is a biblical concept as well as an observable phenomena of Thai culture. But the Bible views shame as necessary and healthy and NON shame in Thai culture is despised. Whereas the Thai avoid shame for selfish reasons, "the theological issue is to see in one's shame a responsibility

to others, especially to God."

WES

12

çl

110

. . /1

. **r**-

j r. ۲¥"

NE

Hist

A person whose God does not confront has a hard time presenting his fellow **countrymen** with an exclusive message. Samuel Kim speaks of a NT Mainority complete Chiesthas weverbyediamtag contentianisth thetgappears that gesetrebrietinesmærer unnecesner i derereluciant dot consectat staten wienarchethe 43 Christian message."

A person whose God does not confront has a hard time confronting a brother in Christ about sin in his life. Exhorting one another unto a life of **holi ness** rarely takes **place--rather**, individualism prevails. In such (2) I AM NOT LAPAR WITH DEFINITURE OF INDUMINES ON HERE. DEVENUENCE AFON SELF YES BUT IN NO WHY AS EXTIMULATION ~ SCHOLNAS REDARNORMENT WED. WESTERN S. CREYV TOBAN

principles of God's word call for his fellow brothers in Christ to oppose him.

But since God is <u>not</u> always CHAI YEN, what significance should this have for the Thai Church? TEACH Propression in the second state of the second s

Tied to the concept of an emotionless God is the idea of an impersonal God who is behind a framework of causality. He rewards men for good deeds and punishes then for bad deeds.

Thai Consistions, while trying to understand the root of suffering and hardships in their lives, might easily see God as a Father who both rewards and sumishes his children according to their deeds. If so.

But does the Bible view God as a Father who punishes his children for bad deeds by sending suffering? And if this punijtive aspect of God is stressed to an extreme, where is there room for an understanding of God's pure grace? God is <u>not</u> impersonal. He **is** present with men and women, and he loves his children dearly. He will not deal with a child as a heartless tyrant, ruling with an iron grip. While he makes **men** responsible for sin (Buddhist Karma insinuates that man is <u>not</u> responsible) he also breaks the fatalism of pure justice with a call of forgiveness through repentance

inA

("Buddhism has no possibility of forgiveness, for Karma is the iron law to which there is no exception'"). And God does not <u>punish</u> his children in the same way as the accumulation of demerit is **believed** to bring punishment. Rather, God disciplines his children in love, not as a payment for wrongdoing but as a catalyst for growth in righteousness.

VLE VE

1: THE

ins ci

W ANE

0 t

lin-

зĊ

hilit

Caller.

55

SOF

11.14

14

 I^{\sim} 111.5

pp-SCRUBY ENICAT

د. المحدة المرام (المحدة المحدة المرام (

LHNEIL

To equate God's actions with Karma is to greatly depersonalize God. Such aspects cf worship as praise and adoration are inappropriate if God is not Seen as being intensely personal. And if bod is not seen as a God of purpose it is natural that Christians would most often turn to him in

ANIMAS IN prayer in crear to avoid what they perceive to be a calamitous situation befalling inem. This is little different from former times when they used to call upon the spirits with hopes of altering their predetermined bad luck.

3. A sense of history is not needed in order to accept revelation.

Kim, in speaking of the Thai, says, "People whose existence is relatively free of crisis, and for whom time flows perpetually in a circle, have little sense of history.⁴⁶ In contrast to the Western linear view of history, the Thai tend to give history a cyclical interpretation (cf. Arnold Toynbee and Oswald Spengler). For the Thai, nature speaks louder than history: AS MAMINE EXISTSCHEATION IS VER CONSIDERED HE BEGINNITH THE COSTION.

Nature is cyclically oriented. Man's life itself is a small circular movement within mother nature's broader circular movement. When a man's life is viewed and CXPerienced in terms of a circular movement, he becomes relatively for-all-ness. There are always second, third, fourth, fifth breeds psychological tension and turmoil. **Circular** movement the doctrine of oncc-for-all-ness. The once-for-all style of life is an <u>unfamiliar</u> style of life in the land of benevolent nature. 48

extendent cube ED linked with the presupposition about God's "cool heart." Koyama explains NILVA 15 51=812 that "a theology of neglect of history is the theology of God who stepped out of history (God of oriental deism) and who therefore cannot meaningfully be moved to wrath," He goes on to explain:

Inv

, il Sc

It is interesting that that this presupposition about history is

The Thai mind tends to identify God with an **absolutistic** icea beyond history (a timeless, apathetic **God).** But the wrath of God has a unique power to **historicize** God. Τn STOTE, if God can truly be moved to wrath, he cannot be a timeless, apathetic God beyond history, but he must be God in history ("Thou" in history), in the sense of **the** drama described in the Bible. 50

What kind of effects might this neglect of history have $_{\varsigma}\mu\text{pon}$ Thai Christians? First of all, it tends to produce a "lazy faith." - If there is a Many-time-ness to life, why must one be particularly upset over failures cr lack of growth in one's Christian experience? The Thai Christians co hot naturally look back to a particular "commitment exper-/ience!' and ir. This way "lock" themselves into history. They have a tendency to take each day as it comes and live it in a way perhaps quite Junrelated ic a previous spiritual decision. For this reason they seem .

in no hurry to grow spiritually, and they live a type of "roller-coaster" LiChristianity.

Secondly, the above view of history can serve to downplay the significance of Jesus Christ. Whether to the Westerner or the Easterner, if his Christology is orthodox he must admit that the Incarnation is a highly important event for mankind. The "once-for-all-ness" of Jesus Christ is thoroughly supported by the Scriptures. If God's ultimate revelation has already appeared in humankind's past, then how can a Christian ignore history? As Kosuke Koyama is so eloquent on this subject, the present writers quote him again:

NAH

God in Christ speaks to man. He is interested in man in history. This historical substance of love is love in the specific sense that it can hold within itself the amazing possibility to make itself sensible and intelligible to the man of any given culture and and given time. 52

SHOULE avit all WE Thus, the importance of history ought to be strongly asserted by THINS every Christian. There is no such thing as history without direction and purpose. If the Thai view of history must be included in the message of the Thai Church, the most that can be done is probably to speak of 53 history **\epsilon s** a "spiral" --having circular and seemingly meaningless characteristics, but definitelyheaded in a well-defined direction.

4. **God'**: gracs is cheap.

NOT

pli

NOT للأتنها

35 N/

ncn

 $\partial_i \phi$

λĴ

,ŕ

het.-

ine D

54 with the Japanese during World War II. Many of these were reinstated into their faith aller the war was over. The amazing thing is that this phenomenon took place with little sense of repentance on the part of many who had recanted. How could this be? One reason is that Thai Christians $\sqrt{f_{e}}$ too long have thought of God's grace as being cheap.

Many Thai Christians renounced their faith under the pressure of

ېخپ ر There are at least two reasons for this tendency. First, Buddha K essentially taught the ability of the self to free oneself. "For the

Buddhist, self-effort and 'bootstrap' deliverance through their own human NOT SE A energies and ability, is a card<u>inal principle."</u> Thus the Thai naturally absolutely foreign and unfamiliar word in Thai mentality."⁵⁶ They can't Assumes that salvation must be earned. "The concept of 'grace' is an conceive of receiving a $\overline{\underline{free}}$ gift of salvation or forgiveness, to the point \overline{free} that they **i**...ink, "If it is free, it must **n**(<u>t</u> be Worth much."

Secondly, the cheapness of grace in Thai theology is a product of the attitude that the. Christian life should be SANUK-SABAI.. Samuel Kim says that the concept of SANUK provides people with "ameasure of how much HE HAD STUEN IS ALL THANKS TO ENTER

(), they wish to commit themselves to particular activities."⁵⁷ doesn't require sacrifice or commitment. The Thai "try to avoid serious religious and personal commitment. 58 rather disturbing."

10

MNE

inni

ish-

-Jist'

لي المار

PICI

Yet, the Scriptures teach that **discipleship** is always costly. The Christian who would follow Christ must learn to do many things which are not SANUK and which take them away from feeling SABAI. "The cost of discipleship must be paid. But our problem is, the people just do not understand why:" is the comment of a former missionary to Thailand.

Kim states that cheap grace is "now a common disease among Thai churches. It has Iostered that strongly Thai idea of "getting away with all you can," In Kim's eyes, "today, the greatest Iproblem and hindrance to the Christian witness is the degraded Christian standard of life."⁶¹ There has been much misconduct among leaders. Certain sexual sins have been "winked "Jon." Internal disputes have been common. True repentance and contrition have been the exception. Standards $\mathbf{b}\mathbf{f}$ "holiness" have not ζi been a concern. Sinning Christians do not face up to their sins responsibly. The idea is rampant that sin has little consequence, for one can always **ask** for forgiveness afterward. It is a picture of the "sinning that grace may abound " found in the writings of Paul.

Christianity without a standard of holiness, without sorrow over sin is no Christianity at all. Yet here one sees a case where Thai cultural values have so warped the doctrine of forgiveness that God's call to righteous living has become fainter than a whisper.

5. Conversion need not require subsequent self-denial.

It is quite remarkable that though there is no concept of "self" in Buddhism, Thai society caters so well to the **pursuit** of entirely selfish

interests. Christ's selfless constraining love for others is utterly foreign to the Buddhist. Does the Thai Church see the servant role which awaits the true follower of Christ? Does it understand that self-denial is not optional just because it is so un-Thai?

Apparently it does not. Particularly in the area of wealth and material goods the Church failed miserably at self-denial. Being a pastor HHINE is not considered by Thai Christians to be a high calling--mostly because Invion the financial benefits are meager. When men have taken on positions of leadership, graft and extortion of church funds has been a common thing. "Sheep stealing" has been very common when a newly arrived mission board

will offer ICC2! Christians (especially leaders) more money or status than they are receiving at the time. Those who have had the integrity to turn χ^2 down such offers have been extremely few and far between. So also the Thai Christian SILES asks himself, "Can the missionary really be coming ou 1 gain?" (here out of pure concern for me, without any incentive or persona eve still not shrugged off the love of money that is ram-, C'Thai Christians h

pant in their poor yet fastly developing nation. Greed has continued to thrive in the Church due to the underlying failure to face up to that deeper commitment called self-denial.

God is not to be understood through logic.

of

NOT

154

, 67J

in the second

~~ = 11

Kim states that "the majority of Buddhist followers know little of the doctrines and creeds. Most of the villagers are ignorant of the higher teachings of their religion for they are often illiterate or they are not encouraged to learn. They have a saying that says . . . 'It is better not to know what they are saying.' They think that sophisticated religious

doctrines make them worry and trouble their hearts." This common attitude toward religious speculation has certainly had an effect upon the Thai Church.

62

Understanding Christianity is secondary to actually practicing its rituals. This can be evidenced in the phenomenon that the act of preaching is held to be above the studying of the doctrines of the faith.

1

Western logic does not usually appeal to the Thai. The apologetic approach which attempts to challenge the Buddhist doctrines appeals only to the thinking, educated Buddhist. This category jof society is very small. Alex Smith maintains that preaching should shun the use of logical progressions in favor of such things as parables, symbols and analogies. J

 1° Herein an engage in some legitimate adaptation to Thai culture.

The possible danger in the above presupposition, however, is that the Thai Christian may grow to feel that understanding his faith is not of great priority. The many distorted presuppositions above ought to be a testimony contact fact that failure to analyze leads to false doctrine, and false <u>doctrine</u> leads to flagrant sin in the life of the Church. If the act of raising crucial questions about Christ's demands on Thai culture is an act quite "un-Thai," then here certainly is an area where Thai Christdemand the cultural characteristic.

7. The Body of Christ has loosely fitted parts.

Thai individualism here shines through. Because the Thai Buddhist's approach to religion is one that is very individualistic, converts to Christianity often find it hard to adjust to a fellowship of believers committed in such a personal way to one another. There remains an effort to keep high walls so that no one can share 'i the secrets of one's heart. There is a residual attitude that "I don't owe any other Christian anything, and certainly I am not accountable to him for sin inrny^life!" The Thai 'Church often forgets that God has replaced "individual irresponsibility"

with mutual accountability.

in U

W BHU

UNICAL

It II. F. = NC of:

'N'

[inter

١, ,

~j-

V. K

. 15

制作了

Loyal'y to one's local congregation or denomination has been very sporadic throughout the country. Some of this \mathbf{i} s obviously due to such things as greed and a low estimation of the value of doctrinal questions. But this phenomenon of "fickle membership" is also rooted in the fact that. many Thai Christians are set on having their own] personal freedom and individuality. It is not uncommon when church disagreements arise to see a lack of humility and a unwillingness to compromise one's position in any Way. Because the Thai Church has not learned to fight (the culture has been no help here), it has learned only <u>flight</u>. Because confrontation " grates against the very nature of a Thai, disagreements with another will not **Commonly** De talked out," and bitterness is likely to take root with case. Misi Thei Christians may enjoy or even prefer a Body that is loosely fitted together: but can they really be happy with the resulting strife?

Christ is against Thai culture.

VUL 11 11-8. 6000 The Church in Thailand has had a problem. The Church in Thailand 70 still has a proplem. Its members are social outcasts, "If you do not follow the general trends of the community and do not participate in the 64 communal religious practice, you will be alienated." There are two dangers here: isolation from the world and conformity to the majority. The message which the Church so far has gotten loud and clear is that God calls me out of mysocial structure. This has resulted in the development of a tremendous inferiority complex on the part of Christians. ("Woe is me. т

have relinquished my 'Thai-ness' for the sake of a foreign religion.")

Whissioconfortable with himpertal Westernuchorans overthene Churchs. they have been HUVHYS 11.21 Thai Christians are often accused of being unpatriotic, but it is Schuller (†-

IN OF FLAGGAG DOWN THREE ROTE - 11+A (+ 131(12)41/03 1-F65

with this accusation that they reply adamantly to the contrary. Except for perhaps rejecting th DEVA RAJA concept of the monarchy (the god-king), all Christians are strong supporters of the monarchy.

But is Christ cross-cultural? And if so, does he enter <u>any</u> culture with the message that <u>all</u> ties must be cut? And can a Church truly be "salt" in society it it is in retreat from the society? With the above presupposition it is no wonder that the Church in Thailand has not appeared to be a viable option for the Thai people. This choice lies before the Thai Christian: Whether or not it makes you "feel comfonable," whether or not it: is the "Thai" thing to 'o, WILL YOU OR WILL YOU NOT CHOOSE TO WRESTLE WITH THE TENSIONS CREATED WHEN CHRIST BECOMFS INCARNATE IN THAI CULTURE? If you will not, don't turn to the missicae: and expect him to do it. He can only be a "source of good alterna: " a "stimulator," a "doctrinal conscience."⁶⁵ YOU must do the actual thinking. If you will, ONLY THEN will you discover that Christ is not calling you to reject your culture. Only then will you see that Christ is calling you to reject affirm him in your culture.

ylth

jN

H THAL

or J Investion OF CONTINUESTICA IT THIS STRANG. 1. THINK CHERTINEOU ST WHAT TINGTON & PRECTACTOR OF THE CONTINUE AND NEEDEDIFICTOR OF THE CONTINUE AND NEEDEDIFICTOR CONTAIN THE THINKING OF THAT CHARTON I THEN REPORTED TO DEDATE IN STRANG I THEN REPORTED.

3, THE WERE ANTHROP CONTROL ASSEMPTIONS IF AT SEPTIMERES A MH & PAUSSE FRIENDER MUMINENT ADVINE STATE BURNHISTON,

ENDNOTES

¹Kosuke Koyama, Waterbuffalo Theology (Maryknoll, NY, 1974), pp. 81-82. ²Samuel Kim, <u>The Unfinished Mission in Thailand</u> (Seoul, Korea, 1980), p. 268. 3 Kim, p. 209. Alex Smith, <u>The Gospel Facing Buddhist Cultures</u> (Taichung, Taiwan), p. 7. Wendell Blanchard, Thailand (New Haven, 1958), p. 480. 6 Frank Moore, Thailand (New Haven, 1974), p. 180. 7 Blanchard, p. 480. 8 Blancharz, p. 481. Larry Fersons, Church Development Analysis Thailand (Nyack, NY, 1981), p. 28. 10 Larry Persons, p. 22. 11 Blancharc, p. 482. 12 Larry Persons, p. 26. 13 Blanchard. p. 484. 14 Moore, p. 182. ¹⁵Moore. 16 17Kim, p. 9. Kim, p. 11. 18 Interview with Kosuke Koyama on October 22, 1981, at Union Theological Semijo_____vork, NY. Interview with Bill Carlsen on November 20, 1981, in Nyack, NY. 20 Larry Persons, p. 45. 21 Interview with Wayne Persons on November 14, 1981, on deputation in Pennsylvania.

Provide the Phillips, Thai Peasant Personality (Berkeley, CA, 1965), p. ?. 23 Wayne Persons November 14 Interview. 24 Wayne Persons November 14 Interview. 25 Koyama Interview. 26 Carlsen Interview. 27 Keyama Interview. 28 George Heckendorf, 1981 Perspective on the Present Gospel Church of Thailand Situation (Khon Kaen, Thailand, 1981). 29 Wayne Persons November 14 Interview. 30 Heckendorf, 1981 Perspective. 31 Interview with Wayne Persons on November 23, 1981, in Nyack, NY. 32 Wayne Persons November 23 Interview. 33.. Koyama interview. 34 Hackendori, 1981 Perspective. 35 Heckendorf. 36 Wayne Persons November 14 Interview. 37 George Heckendorf, Church Development Analysis Questionnaire (Khon Kaen, Thailand, 1981). 38 Wayne Persons November 23 Interview. 39 Wayne Persons. 40 Koyama, <u>Waterbuffalo Theology</u>, p. 146. 41 Koyama, WT, p. 147. 42 Smith, p. 19. 43 Kim, p. 115. 44Smith, p. 24. 45 Appleton, as quoted by Smith, pp. 6-7. 46... 17 Kim, p. 1/. 47 Kim, pp. 131-132.

1	•
· · ·	OVERALL MINIEZOINAE REACTIONS
48 Koyama, <u>WT</u> , p. 30.	* - THEATISE DEALS FOR THE ANST JUNT
^{49.} Koyama, p. 100.	ACCULATE OF MARKENIASONE DILLEMAS
⁵⁰ Коуата, р. 97.	FARING SHE THAT CHURCH TOPAY
^{51.} Koyama, p. 101. 52 Koyaria, p. 67.	* JERHHPS TOO MUCH FAITH 15 PMF
53 Koyana, p. 41.	IN ANTHROPPORTS OF STATE MENTS ABOUT
54 Kim, p. 62.	THE THAT MIND SET VENY FEN OF
55 Smith, p. 6.	THEM HAVE EVEN NEALILIVED W/74
56 ^{Kin,} p. 135.	THE THAT FOR A LONG ENOUGH PERIOR
⁵⁷ Kim.	OF TIME TO REALLY BE ANTHORATIVE
⁵⁸ Kim, ç. 17.	THEAN DIDCIPULE. SHALL APRE TO SAME
⁵⁹ Kim, p. 1 <u>1</u> 40.	
⁶⁰ Kin, p. 127.	* WHY PRES THE THEORIST HUNDED OF
⁶¹ Kin, p. 100*	OF MIDIENS EIGNINN FRICER
62 Kim, p. 13.	
⁶³ Smith, p. 11.	A TIME NEED TO GUARD AGAMNET - VOUETLAND
64 Kim, p. 15.	WHO NOT MENTION-UN CAVEN PRET.
65 Smith, (Mimeo Copy), p	(UNOTDENATON)·*

* MORE SALTERS SHOWLE BE PRESED ON THE IMPOSTUCE OF MESTERN' CHURCH, PRARTICE, PRETHOUND + STRATICE UPEN THE THAT OHURCH.

A MONTE NETE MORE ON TRACK OF THE BHUGGED OF A WESSON OF THAT LEPIDNIC. & "PETS" OF MIDSTEAMED. (ADXIDTED ENS X BUEDTON DID TIMISHNE WET A TEXT + NOSPONIO !! X THIS BERMAN DID THUS STUDIES + NESSON - 150

THAILAND TRAINEES IN ATS IF 15 STRALT

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Blanchard, Wendell. Thailand. New Haven: HRAF Press, 1958.

Carlsen, Willism D. Interview on November 20, 1981, in Nyack, NY.

Heckenderf, George. Church Development Analysis Questionnaire. Khon Kaen, Thailand, 1931.

Heckendorf, George. <u>1981</u> Perspective on the Present Gospel Church of Thailand Situation. Khon Kaen, Thailand, 1981.

Kim, Semuel. The Unfinished Mission in Thailand. Seoul, Korea: East-West Center for Missions Research and Development, 1980.

Koyama, Kosuke. Interview on October 22, 1981, at Union Theological Seminary, New York, New York.

Koyama, Kosuke. <u>Weterbuffalo Theology</u>. Maryknoll, NY: Orbis Books, 1974.

Moore, Frank. Thailand. New Haven: HRAF Press, 1974.

Persons, Larry. Church Development Analysis: The. Cospel Church of Theiland. Nyack, NY, 1981.

Persons, Wayne. Interview on November 14, 1981, on deputation in Pennsylvania.

Persons, Wayne. Interview on November 23, 1981, in Nyack, NY.

Phillips, Herbert. <u>Thai Peasant Personality</u>. Berkeley, CA: University Of California Press, 1965.

Smith, Alex. The Gospel Facing Buddhist Cultures. (Mimeographed copy)

Smith, Alex. <u>The Gospel Facing Buddhist Cultures</u>, **Revised. Taichung, Taiwan:** Asia Theological Association Asian Perspective Series.